

***Table of Contents***  
***Acronyms and Abbreviations***

---



# CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT REVISED DRAFT EIR TABLE OF CONTENTS

**NOTE: The CD located in the front pocket of Volume I contains the entire Revised Draft EIR, including appendices that are not in the printed document.**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b>VOLUME I</b>	
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	ES-1
2.0 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	ES-5
3.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES .....	ES-6
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	ES-14
4.1 OCEANOGRAPHY AND METEOROLOGY .....	ES-14
4.2 PUBLIC SAFETY .....	ES-15
4.3 MARINE TRAFFIC .....	ES-26
4.4 AESTHETICS .....	ES-26
4.5 AGRICULTURE AND SOIL RESOURCES .....	ES-27
4.6 AIR QUALITY .....	ES-27
4.7 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – MARINE .....	ES-28
4.8 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – TERRESTRIAL .....	ES-30
4.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	ES-32
4.10 ENERGY AND MINERAL RESOURCES .....	ES-33
4.11 GEOLOGIC HAZARDS .....	ES-33
4.12 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	ES-34
4.13 LAND USE .....	ES-35
4.14 NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	ES-36
4.15 RECREATION .....	ES-37
4.16 SOCIOECONOMICS .....	ES-38
4.17 TRANSPORTATION .....	ES-39
4.18 WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENTS .....	ES-39
4.19 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	ES-40
4.20 CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS .....	ES-41
5.0 OTHER NEPA/CEQA CONSIDERATIONS .....	ES-43
6.0 CONCLUSION .....	ES-43
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION .....	1-2
1.1.1 The Deepwater Port Act .....	1-2
1.1.2 The Governor of California's Role in DWP Licensing .....	1-5
1.1.3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency .....	1-6
1.1.4 The California State Lands Commission .....	1-6

1.1.5	NEPA and CEQA Requirements for DWPA Licenses and CSLC Leases .....	1-6
1.2	PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES .....	1-6
1.2.1	Federal and State Responsibilities .....	1-7
1.2.2	Natural Gas Need in the United States .....	1-9
1.2.3	Natural Gas Need in California.....	1-10
1.2.4	Increasing Dependence on Foreign Sources for the Supply of Natural Gas .....	1-12
1.2.5	Applicant's Purpose and Objectives .....	1-13
1.3	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE EIS/EIR .....	1-14
1.3.1	The U.S. Coast Guard and MARAD .....	1-15
1.3.2	The California State Lands Commission .....	1-16
1.3.3	Memorandum of Agreement between USCG, MARAD, and CSLC .....	1-17
1.4	CEQA RECIRCULATION .....	1-18
1.4.1	Reason for Recirculation .....	1-18
1.4.2	Major Changes to the Project and Analyses Since the October 2004 Draft EIS/EIR .....	1-18
1.5	PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT OPPORTUNITIES .....	1-28
1.5.1	Scoping Activities .....	1-28
1.5.2	Scoping Comments .....	1-29
1.5.3	Notification and Public Communication about the October 2004 Draft EIS/EIR .....	1-31
1.5.4	Public Review of the Revised Draft EIR .....	1-34
1.6	PERMITS, APPROVALS, AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.....	1-34
1.7	CONTENTS OF THE REVISED DRAFT EIR .....	1-36
1.8	REFERENCES .....	1-36
<b>2.0</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	PROJECT OVERVIEW AND LOCATION .....	2-2
2.2	FSRU AND VICINITY .....	2-14
2.2.1	Properties of Natural Gas to be Imported to the Project.....	2-14
2.2.2	Floating Storage and Regasification Unit .....	2-15
2.2.3	Mooring and LNG Transfer.....	2-36
2.2.4	Safety Zone and Area to be Avoided .....	2-38
2.3	OFFSHORE PIPELINES AND SHORE CROSSING .....	2-39
2.3.1	Offshore Pipelines and Associated Facilities.....	2-39
2.3.2	Shore Crossing.....	2-42
2.4	ONSHORE PIPELINES AND FACILITIES .....	2-42
2.4.1	Center Road Pipeline and Facilities .....	2-43
2.4.2	Line 225 Pipeline Loop and Facilities .....	2-50
2.4.3	Maintenance of Onshore Pipelines and Facilities.....	2-51
2.5	CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION: FSRU AND VICINITY .....	2-52
2.5.1	Floating Storage and Regasification Unit .....	2-53
2.5.2	Mooring System .....	2-53
2.6	CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION: OFFSHORE PIPELINES AND SHORE CROSSING .....	2-54
2.6.1	Shore Crossing via HDB .....	2-54
2.6.2	Offshore Pipelines .....	2-67
2.7	CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION: ONSHORE PIPELINES AND FACILITIES .....	2-70

2.7.1	Onshore Pipeline Construction Sequence.....	2-70
2.7.2	Crossing Techniques.....	2-77
2.7.3	Off-Right-of-Way Activities .....	2-80
2.8	FUTURE PLANS, DECOMMISSIONING, AND ABANDONMENT .....	2-81
2.8.1	Floating Storage and Regasification Unit and Mooring System .....	2-81
2.8.2	Offshore Pipelines .....	2-81
2.8.3	Shore Crossing and Onshore Pipelines and Facilities .....	2-82
2.9	REFERENCES .....	2-82
<b>3.0</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	SELECTION OF ALTERNATIVES .....	3-1
3.2	IDENTIFICATION OF A REASONABLE RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES.....	3-2
3.3	ALTERNATIVES ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER ANALYSIS.....	3-4
3.3.1	Energy Conservation .....	3-5
3.3.2	Renewable Energy Sources .....	3-6
3.3.3	Retrofitting Existing Power Plants .....	3-8
3.3.4	New or Expanded Pipeline Systems .....	3-9
3.3.5	Northern Baja Mexico LNG Terminals.....	3-10
3.3.6	Regional Offshore Alternatives.....	3-12
3.3.7	Specific California Locations .....	3-15
3.3.8	Alternative Deepwater Port Concepts .....	3-24
3.3.9	Alternative Technologies .....	3-31
3.3.10	Alternative Offshore Pipeline Routes.....	3-33
3.3.11	Alternative Pipeline Shoreline Crossing Technologies .....	3-37
3.3.12	Alternative Onshore Pipeline Locations.....	3-38
3.4	ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN CHAPTER 4.0 .....	3-40
3.4.1	No Action Alternative .....	3-40
3.4.2	Alternative Deepwater Port, Subsea Pipeline, Shore Crossing, and Onshore Pipeline Location – Santa Barbara Channel/Mandalay Shore Crossing/Gonzales Road Pipeline Alternative .....	3-42
3.4.3	Shore Crossing Alternatives .....	3-43
3.4.4	Alternative Onshore Pipeline Routes.....	3-49
3.5	REFERENCES .....	3-53
<b>4.0</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>4.1-1</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS .....	4.1-1
4.1.1	Baseline Conditions.....	4.1-2
4.1.2	Regulatory Framework .....	4.1-2
4.1.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.1-3
4.1.4	Direct and Indirect Impact Analysis .....	4.1-3
4.1.5	Applicant Measures and Mitigation Measures .....	4.1-5
4.1.6	Evaluation of Alternatives .....	4.1-5
4.1.7	Underlying Assumptions.....	4.1-6
4.1.8	Oceanography and Meteorology – Environmental Setting.....	4.1-6
4.1.9	References .....	4.1-25
4.2	PUBLIC SAFETY: HAZARDS AND RISK ANALYSIS.....	4.2-1
4.2.1	Overview .....	4.2-1
4.2.2	Representative Comments .....	4.2-3

4.2.3	Independent Risk Assessment and Sandia National Laboratories Review .....	4.2-8
4.2.4	Government Responsibilities for Public Safety.....	4.2-9
4.2.5	Financial Responsibilities in the Event of an Accident .....	4.2-12
4.2.6	Public Safety Risk Analysis Process .....	4.2-14
4.2.7	FSRU and LNG Carriers .....	4.2-17
4.2.8	Natural Gas Pipelines.....	4.2-55
4.2.9	Alternatives.....	4.2-87
4.2.10	References .....	4.2-90
4.3	MARINE TRAFFIC .....	4.3-1
4.3.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.3-1
4.3.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.3-22
4.3.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.3-27
4.3.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.3-28
4.3.5	Alternatives.....	4.3-52
4.3.6	References .....	4.3-54
4.4	AESTHETICS .....	4.4-1
4.4.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.4-15
4.4.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.4-17
4.4.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.4-17
4.4.5	Alternatives.....	4.4-31
4.4.6	References .....	4.4-36
4.5	AGRICULTURE AND SOILS.....	4.5-1
4.5.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.5-1
4.5.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.5-13
4.5.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.5-15
4.5.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.5-16
4.5.5	Alternatives.....	4.5-26
4.5.6	References .....	4.5-38
4.6	AIR QUALITY .....	4.6-1
4.6.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.6-1
4.6.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.6-18
4.6.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.6-24
4.6.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.6-25
4.6.5	Alternatives.....	4.6-42
4.6.6	References .....	4.6-45
4.7	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – MARINE .....	4.7-1
4.7.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.7-1
4.7.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.7-32
4.7.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.7-36
4.7.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.7-38
4.7.5	Alternatives.....	4.7-86
4.7.6	References .....	4.7-89
4.8	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – TERRESTRIAL .....	4.8-1
4.8.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.8-2
4.8.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.8-42
4.8.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.8-47
4.8.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation.....	4.8-49
4.8.5	Alternatives.....	4.8-65
4.8.6	References .....	4.8-75

4.9	CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.9-1
4.9.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.9-16
4.9.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.9-19
4.9.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.9-19
4.9.5	Alternatives .....	4.9-25
4.9.6	References .....	4.9-27
4.10	ENERGY AND MINERALS .....	4.10-1
4.10.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.10-2
4.10.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.10-10
4.10.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.10-10
4.10.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.10-12
4.10.5	Alternatives .....	4.10-13
4.10.6	References .....	4.10-15
4.11	GEOLOGIC RESOURCES AND HAZARDS .....	4.11-1
4.11.1	Environmental Setting and Hazards .....	4.11-2
4.11.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.11-30
4.11.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.11-30
4.11.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.11-33
4.11.5	Alternatives .....	4.11-42
4.11.6	References .....	4.11-44

## VOLUME II

4.12	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	4.12-1
4.12.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.12-1
4.12.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.12-6
4.12.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.12-11
4.12.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.12-11
4.12.5	Alternatives .....	4.12-19
4.12.6	References .....	4.12-22
4.13	LAND USE .....	4.13-1
4.13.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.13-1
4.13.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.13-22
4.13.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.13-30
4.13.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.13-31
4.13.5	Alternatives .....	4.13-34
4.13.6	References .....	4.13-46
4.14	NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	4.14-1
4.14.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.14-1
4.14.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.14-4
4.14.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.14-6
4.14.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.14-6
4.14.5	Alternatives .....	4.14-24
4.14.6	References .....	4.14-28
4.15	RECREATION .....	4.15-1
4.15.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.15-1
4.15.2	Regulatory Setting .....	4.15-9
4.15.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.15-10
4.15.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.15-12

4.15.5	Alternatives.....	4.15-19
4.15.6	References .....	4.15-22
4.16	SOCIOECONOMICS.....	4.16-1
4.16.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.16-2
4.16.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.16-18
4.16.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.16-19
4.16.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.16-20
4.16.5	Alternatives.....	4.16-27
4.16.6	References .....	4.16-28
4.17	TRANSPORTATION .....	4.17-1
4.17.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.17-1
4.17.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.17-13
4.17.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.17-14
4.17.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.17-16
4.17.5	Alternatives.....	4.17-28
4.17.6	References .....	4.17-34
4.18	WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENTS.....	4.18-1
4.18.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.18-1
4.18.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.18-10
4.18.3	Significance Criteria .....	4.18-21
4.18.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation .....	4.18-22
4.18.5	Alternatives.....	4.18-36
4.18.6	References .....	4.18-39
4.19	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	4.19-1
4.19.1	Environmental Setting .....	4.19-3
4.19.2	Regulatory Setting.....	4.19-12
4.19.3	Analysis Criteria .....	4.19-15
4.19.4	Impact Analysis .....	4.19-15
4.19.5	Alternatives.....	4.19-20
4.19.6	References .....	4.19-22
4.20	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS.....	4.20-1
4.20.1	Other Offshore Projects.....	4.20-10
4.20.2	Other Onshore Projects.....	4.20-14
4.20.3	Resource-Specific Cumulative Impacts.....	4.20-17
4.20.4	References .....	4.20-38
<b>5.0</b>	<b>OTHER REQUIRED NEPA/CEQA CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION TO ADDITIONAL NEPA/CEQA REQUIREMENTS DISCUSSED IN THIS SECTION.....	5-1
5.2	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT THAT CANNOT BE MITIGATED TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT .....	5-1
5.3	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG- TERM PRODUCTIVITY.....	5-3
5.4	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	5-4
5.5	GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	5-4
5.6	FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT EVALUATION .....	5-5
<b>6.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM .....	6-1
6.2	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE .....	6-54



6.2.1	Comparison of Proposed Project and SB Channel/Mandalay/Gonzales Road DWP Alternative .....	6-54
6.2.2	Comparison of Proposed Project and Shore Crossing Alternatives.....	6-54
6.2.3	Comparison of Proposed Project and Alternative Onshore Pipeline Route Alternatives .....	6-55
<b>7.0</b>	<b>REPORT PREPARATION .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	EIR PREPARERS AND REVIEWERS .....	7-1
7.2	EIR INFORMATION CONTACT .....	7-7

# CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT REVISED DRAFT EIR LIST OF APPENDICES

***Note: Asterisk indicates that the appendix can be found on the CD in the front pocket of Volume I.***

APPENDIX A	DISTRIBUTION LIST
APPENDIX B	NOTICE OF PREPARATION
APPENDIX C1	INDEPENDENT RISK ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX C2	SANDIA REVIEW OF INDEPENDENT RISK ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX C3-1	CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF LNG ACCIDENTS
APPENDIX C3-2	MARINE SAFETY AND SECURITY REQUIREMENTS
APPENDIX C3-3	DESIGN AND SAFETY STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO NATURAL GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINES
APPENDIX D1	DRILLING FLUID RELEASE MONITORING PLAN FOR HDB
APPENDIX D2*	ANCHOR MITIGATION PLAN FOR HDB NEARSHORE PIPELINE PROJECT - MARINE OPERATIONS
APPENDIX D3*	HDB NEARSHORE PIPELINE PROJECT - MARINE OPERATIONS
APPENDIX D4	PRELIMINARY CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE AND DESIGN FOR HDB PIPELINE LANDFALL
APPENDIX D5*	BALAST WATER SYSTEM OPERATIONS AND DESIGN FEATURES
APPENDIX E*	CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION LNG SITING STUDIES (EXCERPTS)
APPENDIX F	DISTANCE CALCULATIONS FOR AESTHETICS ANALYSIS
APPENDIX G1*	AIR QUALITY – CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS
APPENDIX G2*	AIR QUALITY – OPERATING EMISSIONS
APPENDIX G3*	AIR QUALITY – FSRU STARTUP EMISSIONS
APPENDIX G4	AIR QUALITY – GENERAL CONFORMITY DETERMINATION [The General Conformity analysis is a separate process that is occurring independently of the EIR. The final analysis will be included in this location in the Cabrillo Port Final EIS/EIR]
APPENDIX G5*	AIR QUALITY – NITROGEN DIOXIDE, SULFUR DIOXIDE, CARBON MONOXIDE, AND PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSIONS

APPENDIX G6*	AIR QUALITY – HEALTH RISK ANALYSIS OF ONSHORE PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION
APPENDIX G7*	SIERRA RESEARCH CEQA AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX G8*	AIR QUALITY - AMMONIA EMISSIONS
APPENDIX H1	ICHTHYOPLANKTON IMPACT ANALYSIS
APPENDIX H2	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF OCEANS AND INTERNATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC AFFAIRS LETTER
APPENDIX I	SECTION 7 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES AGENCY CONSULTATION
APPENDIX J1	USGS COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL GEOLOGIC AND SEISMIC HAZARDS AFFECTING THE VENTURA COUNTY COAST
APPENDIX J2*	PRELIMINARY SEISMIC AND GEOLOGIC HAZARDS EVALUATION
APPENDIX J3*	GEOLOGIC AND GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION OF PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTES
APPENDIX J4*	GEOTECHNICAL DESKTOP STUDY
APPENDIX K*	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC.

.

# CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT REVISED DRAFT EIR LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
TABLE ES-1	SUMMARY OF FSRU ACCIDENT CONSEQUENCES..... ES-18
TABLE ES-2	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REGARDING THE FSRU AND LNG CARRIERS <sup>A</sup> ..... ES-19
TABLE ES-3	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REGARDING PIPELINES <sup>A</sup> ..... ES-23
TABLE ES-4	CATEGORIES OF IMPACTS ..... ES-44
TABLE ES-5	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES ..... ES-45
TABLE 1.4-1	ISSUES RAISED IN COMMENTS ON OCTOBER 2004 DRAFT EIS/EIR – LOCATION OF DISCUSSION IN THIS DOCUMENT ..... 1-19
TABLE 1.5.1	LIBRARIES OR OTHER PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE REPOSITORIES FOR PROJECT EIS/EIR DOCUMENTS..... 1-32
TABLE 2.1-1	LOCATION OF PROJECT FACILITIES ..... 2-6
TABLE 2.1-2	DISTANCES FROM FSRU TO POINTS OF INTEREST ..... 2-9
TABLE 2.1-3	LAND AND SEA REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF THE CABRILLO PORT PROJECT ..... 2-10
TABLE 2.1-4	LAND REQUIREMENTS FOR TEMPORARY STAGING AREAS DURING CONSTRUCTION OF THE CABRILLO PORT PROJECT ..... 2-11
TABLE 2.3-1	OFFSHORE PIPELINE CHARACTERISTICS..... 2-40
TABLE 2.5-1	PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION VESSELS AND EQUIPMENT, USE, AND DURATION OF USE..... 2-52
TABLE 2.6-1	SEAFLOOR AREA IMPACTED BY HDB OPERATIONS ..... 2-65
TABLE 3.2-1	COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND POTENTIAL ALTERNATIVES..... 3-3

TABLE 3.3-1	PLANNED AND PROPOSED WIND PROJECTS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.....	3-8
TABLE 3.3-2	ESTIMATED REGASIFICATION RELATED AIR EMISSIONS OF EXCELERATE'S GULF GATEWAY PROJECT AND THE PROPOSED CABRILLO PORT PROJECT .....	3-28
TABLE 3.3-3	COMPARISON OF THE PROPOSED CABRILLO PORT FSRU TO AN ENERGY BRIDGE ALTERNATIVE .....	3-29
TABLE 4.1-1	CATEGORIES OF IMPACTS .....	4.1-4
TABLE 4.1-2	CHARACTERISTICS OF CURRENTS NEAR THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	4.1-11
TABLE 4.1-3	APPLICANT-CALCULATED SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHTS.....	4.1-14
TABLE 4.1-4	NUMBERS OF DAYS PER YEAR IN WHICH WAVES EXCEED SPECIFIED HEIGHTS AT BUOYS LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPOSED SITE OF THE FSRU .....	4.1-15
TABLE 4.1-5	SUMMARY OF METEOROLOGICAL OCEAN CONDITIONS AT BUOY 46025 .....	4.1-16
TABLE 4.1-6	VISIBILITY DISTANCES BY MONTH AT POINT MUGU .....	4.1-21
TABLE 4.1-7	VISIBILITY FREQUENCY (PERCENT) AT POINT MUGU (PM) AND SAN NICHOLAS ISLAND (SNI) .....	4.1-22
TABLE 4.2-1	SUMMARY OF FSRU ACCIDENT CONSEQUENCES.....	4.2-2
TABLE 4.2-2	REPRESENTATIVE HAZARDS AND THREATS CONSIDERED IN THE PUBLIC SAFETY ANALYSIS .....	4.2-5
TABLE 4.2-3	LEAD AND COOPERATING AGENCY AUTHORITY FOR THE PROJECT .....	4.2-10
TABLE 4.2-4	COMPARISON OF TRANSPORTATION RISKS .....	4.2-17
TABLE 4.2-5	COMMON, APPROXIMATE THERMAL RADIATION DAMAGE LEVELS.....	4.2-20
TABLE 4.2-6	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REGARDING THE FSRU AND LNG CARRIERS.....	4.2-26
TABLE 4.2-7	SUMMARY OF ISSUES AND RESOLUTIONS IDENTIFIED IN THE CABRILLO PORT IRA.....	4.2-33

TABLE 4.2-8	SCENARIOS EVALUATED IN THE 2006 SANDIA REPORT AND THE IRA.....	4.2-36
TABLE 4.2-9	SUMMARY OF PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES FOR THE FSRU AND THE DWP .....	4.2-50
TABLE 4.2-10	NATURAL GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINE INCIDENTS BY CAUSE .....	4.2-57
TABLE 4.2-11	SOCALGAS NATURAL GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINE INCIDENTS REPORTED TO THE NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER.....	4.2-59
TABLE 4.2-12	ANNUAL INCIDENT SUMMARIES – U.S. GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINES.....	4.2-64
TABLE 4.2-13	ANNUAL TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENTAL DEATHS.....	4.2-65
TABLE 4.2-14	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REGARDING PIPELINES .....	4.2-66
TABLE 4.2-15	PIPELINE LOCATION CLASS DEFINITIONS.....	4.2-69
TABLE 4.2-16	TRANSMISSION PIPELINE INCIDENT AND SAFETY-RELATED CONDITION REPORTING CRITERIA IN CALIFORNIA.....	4.2-71
TABLE 4.2-17	ESTIMATED ANNUAL INCIDENT FREQUENCIES/RISKS: GAS TRANSMISSION PIPELINES .....	4.2-74
TABLE 4.2-18	DESIGN GUIDELINES AND PROJECT-SPECIFIC VALVE SPACINGS.....	4.2-78
TABLE 4.2-19	PRELIMINARY IDENTIFICATION OF HIGH CONSEQUENCE AREAS (HCAS) ON PROJECT PIPELINE ROUTES .....	4.2-79
TABLE 4.2-20	SUMMARY OF PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES FOR PROJECT PIPELINES.....	4.2-85
TABLE 4.3-1	AVERAGE VESSEL TRANSITS IN THE PROPOSED CABRILLO PORT PROJECT AREA .....	4.3-5
TABLE 4.3-2	PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION VESSELS AND EQUIPMENT, USE, AND DURATION OF USE.....	4.3-8
TABLE 4.3-3	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF WEEKLY AND ANNUAL TRANSITS TO THE FSRU .....	4.3-13

TABLE 4.3-4	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR MARINE TRAFFIC .....	4.3-23
TABLE 4.3-5	RISKS AND CONSEQUENCES OF SHIP COLLISIONS ESTIMATED BY APPLICANT .....	4.3-36
TABLE 4.3-6	COMMON, APPROXIMATE THERMAL RADIATION DAMAGE LEVELS .....	4.3-42
TABLE 4.3-7	DISTANCE TO LFL FROM DISPERSION OF VAPOR CLOUD ...	4.3-42
TABLE 4.3-8	POOL FIRE RESULTS .....	4.3-42
TABLE 4.3-9	FLASH FIRE RESULTS .....	4.3-43
TABLE 4.3-10	SUMMARY OF MARINE TRAFFIC IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.3-47
TABLE 4.4-1	DISTANCES FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS TO FSRU .....	4.4-5
TABLE 4.4-2	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR AESTHETICS .....	4.4-15
TABLE 4.4-3	SUMMARY OF OFFSHORE LIGHTING REQUIREMENTS DURING OPERATION .....	4.4-25
TABLE 4.4-4	SUMMARY OF LIGHTING REQUIREMENTS DURING OFFSHORE CONSTRUCTION AND SHORE CROSSING .....	4.4-29
TABLE 4.4-5	SUMMARY OF AESTHETIC IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.4-32
TABLE 4.5-1	REPRESENTATIVE AGRICULTURE ALONG THE PROPOSED CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ROUTES .....	4.5-2
TABLE 4.5-2	SOIL TYPES ALONG THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ROUTES AND ACRES DISTURBED .....	4.5-3
TABLE 4.5-3	SOIL TYPES ALONG THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP ROUTES AND ACRES DISTURBED .....	4.5-7
TABLE 4.5-4	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR AGRICULTURE AND SOILS .....	4.5-13
TABLE 4.5-5	PRIME FARMLAND SOILS AND FARMLAND SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE TEMPORARILY DISTURBED AND/OR PERMANENTLY CONVERTED DURING CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONS .....	4.5-17

TABLE 4.5-6	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF ORCHARD TREES THAT WOULD BE TEMPORARILY/PERMANENTLY REMOVED.....	4.5-19
TABLE 4.5-7	LENGTH OF TREE ROWS POTENTIALLY DISTURBED DURING PIPELINE INSTALLATION.....	4.5-24
TABLE 4.5-8	REPRESENTATIVE AGRICULTURE PRESENT ALONG THE SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL/MANDALAY SHORE CROSSING/GONZALES ROAD PIPELINE .....	4.5-27
TABLE 4.5-9	SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL/MANDALAY SHORE CROSSING/GONZALES ROAD PIPELINE SOILS.....	4.5-27
TABLE 4.5-10	SOIL ASSOCIATION – ARNOLD ROAD SHORE CROSSING/ARNOLD ROAD PIPELINE .....	4.5-32
TABLE 4.5-11	SOIL ASSOCIATION – POINT MUGU SHORE CROSSING/CASPER ROAD PIPELINE .....	4.5-34
TABLE 4.5-12	SUMMARY OF AGRICULTURE AND SOIL RESOURCES IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	4.5-36
TABLE 4.6-1	SUMMARY OF NATIONAL AND STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.....	4.6-3
TABLE 4.6-2	ATTAINMENT STATUS OF AREAS OF PROJECT ACTIVITY.....	4.6-6
TABLE 4.6-3	MOORING AND FSRU INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT .....	4.6-7
TABLE 4.6-4	OFFSHORE PIPELINE INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT.....	4.6-7
TABLE 4.6-5	SHORE CROSSING CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....	4.6-8
TABLE 4.6-6	ONSHORE PIPELINE INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT – TRENCHING .....	4.6-10
TABLE 4.6-7	ONSHORE PIPELINE INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT – PIPELAYING .....	4.6-10
TABLE 4.6-8	ONSHORE PIPELINE INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT – BORING .....	4.6-11
TABLE 4.6-9	ONSHORE PIPELINE INSTALLATION EQUIPMENT – HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL DRILLING.....	4.6-11
TABLE 4.6-10	DAILY AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES .....	4.6-12



TABLE 4.6-11	TOTAL AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES .....	4.6-12
TABLE 4.6-12	AIR POLLUTANT POTENTIAL TO EMIT FROM FSRU EQUIPMENT .....	4.6-15
TABLE 4.6-13	AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM PROJECT VESSELS – NORMAL OPERATIONS.....	4.6-16
TABLE 4.6-14	AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS FROM FSRU STATIONARY SOURCES DURING START-UP.....	4.6-17
TABLE 4.6-15	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR AIR QUALITY .....	4.6-19
TABLE 4.6-16	SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS FOR EMISSIONS IN VENTURA COUNTY AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.6-25
TABLE 4.6-17	COMPARISON OF CO, NO <sub>x</sub> , AND ROC CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS WITH SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS.....	4.6-26
TABLE 4.6-18	COMPARISON OF PM <sub>10</sub> AND PM <sub>2.5</sub> CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS TO SCAQMD SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS .....	4.6-28
TABLE 4.6-19	COMPARISON OF CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS IN FEDERAL WATERS TO REGION-WIDE EMISSION FORECASTS .....	4.6-36
TABLE 4.6-20	SUMMARY OF AIR QUALITY IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.6-39
TABLE 4.7-1	AVERAGE ABUNDANCE OF SPECIES (ORGANISMS PER SQUARE METER).....	4.7-4
TABLE 4.7-2	FISH COMMON TO THE PROJECT VICINITY .....	4.7-7
TABLE 4.7-3	OCCURRENCE OF PROTECTED SPECIES OF CETACEANS IN OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE .....	4.7-15
TABLE 4.7-4	OCCURRENCE OF PINNIPEDS IN OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE .....	4.7-21
TABLE 4.7-5	OCCURRENCE OF THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE.....	4.7-22

TABLE 4.7-6	OCCURRENCE OF THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES OF SEA TURTLES IN OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE .....	4.7-30
TABLE 4.7-7	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – MARINE .....	4.7-32
TABLE 4.7-8	SEAWATER UPTAKE VOLUMES.....	4.7-48
TABLE 4.7-9.	SUMMARY OF VERTICAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF EFH SPECIES OCCURRING IN THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BIGHT. ....	4.7-50
TABLE 4.7-10	FREQUENCY HEARING RANGES FOR SELECTED MARINE MAMMAL SPECIES .....	4.7-56
TABLE 4.7-11	NOISE GENERATED FROM OFFSHORE EQUIPMENT .....	4.7-60
TABLE 4.7-12	NOISE THRESHOLD LEVELS.....	4.7-61
TABLE 4.7-13.	TOTAL MAXIMUM COMBINED NOISE GENERATED FROM FSRU, LNG CARRIER, AND TUG BOATS AT FSRU.....	4.7-62
TABLE 4.7-14	SUMMARY OF MARINE BIOLOGY IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.7-83
TABLE 4.8-1	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES .....	4.8-77
TABLE 4.8-2A	ACRES OF POTENTIAL JURISDICTIONAL WETLAND AND WATERS ALONG THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND LINE 225 PIPELINE AND THEIR ALTERNATIVES .....	4.8-79
TABLE 4.8-2B	POTENTIAL JURISDICTIONAL WETLAND AND WATER SITES BY ALTERNATIVE.....	4.8-80
TABLE 4.8-3A	SPECIAL STATUS PLANT SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE IN THE OXNARD PLAIN AND COASTAL ZONE .....	4.8-83
TABLE 4.8-3B	SPECIAL STATUS WILDLIFE SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE IN THE OXNARD PLAIN AND COASTAL ZONE .....	4.8-85
TABLE 4.8-4	WINTERING BIRDS OBSERVED DURING THE WATERFOWL SURVEY ALONG THE CENTERLINE PIPELINE AND THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP AND THEIR ALTERNATIVES .....	4.8-93

TABLE 4.8-5	SENSITIVE PLANT SPRING AND SUMMER 2005 SURVEY RESULTS ALONG THE CENTERLINE PIPELINE AND THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP AND THEIR ALTERNATIVES .....	4.8-95
TABLE 4.8-6	TREE SPECIES AND LOCATIONS WITHIN THE PROPOSED CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES .....	4.8-113
TABLE 4.8-7	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP AND ITS ALTERNATIVE .....	4.8-117
TABLE 4.8-8A	COAST LIVE OAK TREES ALONG THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP .....	4.8-118
TABLE 4.8-8B	OAK TREES ALONG THE LINE 225 LOOP PIPELINE .....	4.8-120
TABLE 4.8-9A	SPECIAL STATUS PLANT SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP .....	4.8-122
TABLE 4.8-9B	SPECIAL STATUS WILDLIFE SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING IN THE VICINITY OF THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP .....	4.8-126
TABLE 4.8-10	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – TERRESTRIAL .....	4.8-43
TABLE 4.8-11	SUMMARY OF TERRESTRIAL BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.8-63
TABLE 4.9-1	POSSIBLE SHIPWRECKS OFF VENTURA COUNTY AND VICINITY LISTED IN STATE AND FEDERAL DATABASES .....	4.9-7
TABLE 4.9-2	CULTURAL RESOURCE LOCATIONS AND FIELD VALIDATION OF SITES WITHIN THE 200-FOOT PROJECT RIGHT-OF-WAY.....	4.9-12
TABLE 4.9-3	CULTURAL RESOURCE LOCATIONS OUTSIDE THE 200-FOOT PROJECT RIGHT-OF-WAY .....	4.9-14
TABLE 4.9-4	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	4.9-16
TABLE 4.9-5	SUMMARY OF CULTURAL RESOURCE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.9-23
TABLE 4.10-1	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR ENERGY AND MINERALS .....	4.10-11

TABLE 4.10-2	SUMMARY OF ENERGY IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.10-12
TABLE 4.11-1	ACTIVE AND POTENTIALLY ACTIVE FAULTS AND ASSOCIATED EARTHQUAKES GREATER THAN 4.5 MAGNITUDE WITHIN 25 MILES OF THE PROJECT SITE .....	4.11-21
TABLE 4.11-2	RECORDED EARTHQUAKES GREATER THAN 5.5 MAGNITUDE WITHIN 25 MILES (40 KM) OF THE PROJECT OR LARGE QUAKES WITHIN ~80 MILES (129 KM), 1800 TO 1999 .....	4.11-22
TABLE 4.11-3	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR GEOLOGIC RESOURCES .....	4.11-31
TABLE 4.11-4	SUMMARY OF GEOLOGIC IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.11-40
TABLE 4.12-1	INVENTORY OF ENVIRONMENTALLY REGULATED SITES WITHIN 0.25 MILE (0.4 KM) THE PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE ROUTES .....	4.12-4
TABLE 4.12-2	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	4.12-6
TABLE 4.12-3	SUMMARY OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.12-17
TABLE 4.13-1	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-7
TABLE 4.13-2	SENSITIVE LAND USES IN THE VICINITY OF THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.13-8
TABLE 4.13-3	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE LAND USE DESIGNATIONS .....	4.13-12
TABLE 4.13-4	LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP EXISTING LAND USES.....	4.13-18
TABLE 4.13-5	LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP LAND USE DESIGNATIONS.....	4.13-21
TABLE 4.13-6	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR LAND USE .....	4.13-26
TABLE 4.13-7	SUMMARY OF LAND USE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.13-35
TABLE 4.13-8	SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL/MANDALAY SHORE CROSSING/GONZALES ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-37

TABLE 4.13-9	SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL/MANDALAY SHORE CROSSING/GONZALES ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE LAND USE DESIGNATIONS .....	4.13-37
TABLE 4.13-10	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 1 EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-38
TABLE 4.13-11	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ROUTE ALTERNATIVE 1 LAND USE DESIGNATIONS .....	4.13-40
TABLE 4.13-12	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 2 EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-40
TABLE 4.13-13	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 2 LAND USE DESIGNATIONS .....	4.13-41
TABLE 4.13-14	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 3 EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-42
TABLE 4.13-15	LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP ALTERNATIVE EXISTING LAND USES .....	4.13-43
TABLE 4.14-1	TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS .....	4.14-2
TABLE 4.14-2	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR NOISE .....	4.14-5
TABLE 4.14-3	FSRU EQUIPMENT NOISE DURING OPERATION .....	4.14-9
TABLE 4.14-4	CONSTRUCTION NOISE FROM HDB .....	4.14-12
TABLE 4.14-5	ANTICIPATED NOISE REDUCTION FOR MITIGATION .....	4.14-15
TABLE 4.14-6	POTENTIAL VIBRATION IMPACT DISTANCES (IN FEET) .....	4.14-16
TABLE 4.14-7	CONSTRUCTION NOISE FROM TYPICAL PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ACTIVITIES .....	4.14-18
TABLE 4.14-8	SUMMARY OF NOISE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.14-21
TABLE 4.15-1	SPORTFISHING SPECIES COUNT IN VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.15-3
TABLE 4.15-2	WATER-ORIENTED RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY IN CHANNEL ISLANDS NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY 1999 .....	4.15-4
TABLE 4.15-3	DAILY VISITORS AND OVERNIGHT CAMPERS AT CHANNEL ISLANDS NATIONAL PARK, JANUARY TO DECEMBER 2000.	4.15-4

TABLE 4.15-4	PUBLIC PARKS, TRAILS, AND OTHER RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN 1 MILE (1.6 KM) OF THE PROPOSED CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES .....	4.15-7
TABLE 4.15-5	PUBLIC PARKS AND TRAILS WITHIN 1 MILE (1.6 KM) OF PROPOSED LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP .....	4.15-8
TABLE 4.15-6	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR RECREATION.....	4.15-9
TABLE 4.15-7	SUMMARY OF RECREATION IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.15-18
TABLE 4.16-1	COMMERCIAL FISHING FLEETS IN AREA PORTS.....	4.16-4
TABLE 4.16-2	COMMERCIAL FISHING LOCATION AND TIMING .....	4.16-4
TABLE 4.16-3	COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS BY PORT (VENTURA AREA) AND TOP COMMERCIAL VALUE OF FISH LANDINGS BY SPECIES – 2001 .....	4.16-6
TABLE 4.16-4	ANNUAL PORT HUENEME-OXNARD-VENTURA FISH LANDINGS .....	4.16-6
TABLE 4.16-5	POPULATION AND POPULATION DENSITY IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	4.16-9
TABLE 4.16-6	POPULATION AND HOUSING ESTIMATES FOR VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.16-10
TABLE 4.16-7	VACANCY RATES IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	4.16-10
TABLE 4.16-8	TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATIONS IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	4.16-11
TABLE 4.16-9	VENTURA COUNTY PARKS DEPARTMENT – TENT AND RV CAMPGROUNDS.....	4.16-11
TABLE 4.16-10	VENTURA COUNTY AVERAGE ANNUAL SALARIES, 1 <sup>ST</sup> QUARTER 2002.....	4.16-13
TABLE 4.16-11	VENTURA COUNTY EMPLOYMENT, 2003 .....	4.16-13
TABLE 4.16-12	LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYMENT, 2003 .....	4.16-14
TABLE 4.16-13	PUBLIC SERVICES SERVING THE PROPOSED PROJECT AREA .....	4.16-15

TABLE 4.16-14	FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES IN THE PROPOSED PROJECT AREA.....	4.16-16
TABLE 4.16-15	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR SOCIOECONOMICS.....	4.16-19
TABLE 4.16-16	FISH CATCH LANDINGS AND REVENUE IN THE PROJECT AREA.....	4.16-21
TABLE 4.16-17	SUMMARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.16-26
TABLE 4.17-1	ROADWAYS USED TO ACCESS ORMOND BEACH SHORE CROSSING LOCATION AND TRAFFIC VOLUMES .....	4.17-2
TABLE 4.17-2	TRAFFIC DATA FOR ROADWAYS THAT CROSS OR PARALLEL THE PROPOSED CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ROUTE .....	4.17-5
TABLE 4.17-3	VENTURA COUNTY ROADS EXISTING LEVEL OF SERVICE ..	4.17-6
TABLE 4.17-4	TRAFFIC DATA FOR ROADWAYS THAT CROSS OR PARALLEL THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP PROPOSED ROUTE .....	4.17-11
TABLE 4.17-5	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR TRANSPORTATION .....	4.17-13
TABLE 4.17-6	ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION PERIODS ALONG 1-MILE SEGMENTS OF THE ONSHORE PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE PIPELINE ROUTES .....	4.17-16
TABLE 4.17-7	SUMMARY OF TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.17-27
TABLE 4.17-8	TRAFFIC DATA FOR ROADWAYS THAT CROSS OR PARALLEL CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 1 .....	4.17-30
TABLE 4.17-9	TRAFFIC DATA FOR ROADWAYS THAT CROSS OR PARALLEL CENTER ROAD PIPELINE ALTERNATIVE 2 .....	4.17-32
TABLE 4.17-10	TRAFFIC DATA FOR ROADWAYS THAT CROSS OR PARALLEL THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP ALTERNATIVE ROUTE .....	4.17-33
TABLE 4.18-1	MAJOR WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS OF THE OCEAN WATERS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY.....	4.18-2

TABLE 4.18-2	SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS – BHP BILLITON LNG INTERNATIONAL INC.....	4.18-4
TABLE 4.18-3	SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS – RELIANT ENERGY .....	4.18-6
TABLE 4.18-4	WATER QUALITY RESULTS – RELIANT ENERGY.....	4.18-6
TABLE 4.18-5	SURFACE WATER BODIES ALONG THE CENTER ROAD ROUTE AND ALTERNATIVES .....	4.18-9
TABLE 4.18-6	SURFACE WATER BODIES ALONG THE LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP .....	4.18-10
TABLE 4.18-7	CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(D) LIST IMPAIRED WATER BODIES IN THE VICINITY OF THE CABRILLO PORT PROJECT ( 303D LIST APPROVED JULY 2003).....	4.18-15
TABLE 4.18-8	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENTS.....	4.18-16
TABLE 4.18-9	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENTS MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.18-34
TABLE 4.19-1	POPULATION BY ETHNIC AND RACIAL GROUPS – COUNTIES AND CITIES IN PROJECT AREA.....	4.19-5
TABLE 4.19-2	SUMMARY OF HISPANIC OR LATINO POPULATION ALONG THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND ALTERNATIVES.....	4.19-6
TABLE 4.19-3	HISPANIC OR LATINO POPULATION ALONG THE CENTER ROAD PIPELINE PROPOSED ROUTE .....	4.19-7
TABLE 4.19-4	UNPOPULATED BLOCKS ALONG CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND LOOP 225 PIPELINE ROUTES .....	4.19-9
TABLE 4.19-5	SUMMARY OF HISPANIC OR LATINO POPULATION WITHIN LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE ROUTES – .....	4.19-10
TABLE 4.19-6	INCOME DISTRIBUTION – COUNTIES AND CITIES IN THE PROJECT AREA COMPARED WITH THE STATE.....	4.19-11
TABLE 4.19-7	SUMMARY OF POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LEVEL NEAR CENTER ROAD PIPELINE AND ALTERNATIVES .....	4.19-12
TABLE 4.19-8	SUMMARY OF BELOW POVERTY LEVEL POPULATION OF PROPOSED LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP PIPELINE AND ALTERNATIVE ROUTES .....	4.19-12



TABLE 4.19-9	MAJOR LAWS, REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PLANS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	4.19-13
TABLE 4.19-10	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES .....	4.19-20
TABLE 4.20-1	SUMMARY OF PROPOSED AND CURRENT PROJECTS IN THE AREA OF THE APPLICANT'S PROPOSED PROJECT .....	4.20-2
TABLE 6.1-1	MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM .....	6-2
TABLE 6.2-1	COMPARISON MATRIX: PROPOSED OFFSHORE PROJECT COMPONENTS AND ALTERNATIVES .....	6-56
TABLE 6.2-2	COMPARISON MATRIX: PROPOSED ONSHORE PROJECT COMPONENTS AND ALTERNATIVES .....	6-63
TABLE 6.2-3	COMPARISON MATRIX: PROPOSED SHORE CROSSING AND ALTERNATIVES .....	6-71
TABLE 7.1-1	AGENCY PROJECT TEAM.....	7-1
TABLE 7.1-2	PROJECT CONSULTANTS .....	7-2

# CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT REVISED DRAFT EIR LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE ES-1	CONSEQUENCE DISTANCES SURROUNDING THE FSRU LOCATION FOR WORST CREDIBLE EVENTS..... ES-7
FIGURE ES-2	PROPOSED PROJECT COMPONENTS ..... ES-9
FIGURE ES-3	LOCATION OF PROPOSED PROJECT AND ITS ALTERNATIVES ..... ES-11
FIGURE 1.0-1	PROPOSED CABRILLO PORT LNG DEEPWATER PORT ..... 1-3
FIGURE 2.1-1	PROPOSED PROJECT COMPONENTS ..... 2-3
FIGURE 2.1-2	CONSEQUENCE DISTANCES SURROUNDING THE FSRU LOCATION FOR WORST CREDIBLE EVENTS..... 2-7
FIGURE 2.2-1	PROPOSED FSRU PROFILE SCHEMATIC..... 2-17
FIGURE 2.2-2	ARTIST RENDERING OF FSRU ..... 2-19
FIGURE 2.2-3	ARTIST RENDERING OF LNG CARRIER DOCKED AT FSRU DURING OFFLOADING ..... 2-19
FIGURE 2.2-4	CROSS SECTION OF MOSS TANK ..... 2-23
FIGURE 2.2-5	SUBMERGED COMBUSTION VAPORIZER PROCESS SCHEMATIC..... 2-25
FIGURE 2.4.1	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE: PROPOSED ROUTE ..... 2-45
FIGURE 2.4-2	PROPOSED LINE 225 PIPELINE LOOP LOCATION..... 2-47
FIGURE 2.6-1	HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL BORING SCHEMATIC..... 2-55
FIGURE 2.6-2	TYPICAL ONSHORE HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL BORING EQUIPMENT LAYOUT ..... 2-59
FIGURE 2.6-3	TYPICAL OFFSHORE HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL BORING EQUIPMENT LAYOUT ..... 2-61

FIGURE 2.6-4	PROPOSED OFFSHORE HORIZONTAL DIRECTIONAL BORING VESSEL MOORING ARRANGEMENT .....	2-63
FIGURE 2.7-1	TYPICAL ONSHORE PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE .....	2-71
FIGURE 3.3-1	CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT AND POTENTIAL ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	3-19
FIGURE 3.3-2	EXAMPLE OF AN ENERGY BRIDGE TERMINAL .....	3-27
FIGURE 3.3-3	REGIONAL TOPOGRAPHY .....	3-35
FIGURE 3.4-1	SHORE CROSSING ALTERNATIVES .....	3-45
FIGURE 3.4-2	CENTER ROAD PIPELINE: PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE ROUTES.....	3-47
FIGURE 3.4-3	LINE 225 LOOP PIPELINE: PROPOSED AND ALTERNATIVE ROUTES.....	3-51
FIGURE 4.1-1	NOAA AND CDIP BUOY LOCATIONS AND ANNUAL WIND ROSE (1982–2004) FOR NOAA BUOY 46025.....	4.1-9
FIGURE 4.1-2	NOAA WAVE CLIMATE SUMMARY PLOT FOR NOAA BUOY 46025.....	4.1-14
FIGURE 4.1-3	WIND SPEED AND DIRECTION (1982–2004) FOR NOAA BUOY 46025 .....	4.1-19
FIGURE 4.1-4	INVERSION LAYERS .....	4.1-23
FIGURE 4.2-1	THE RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS.....	4.2-15
FIGURE 4.2-2	PIPELINE INCIDENT, INJURY, AND FATALITY TRENDS 1986–2005 .....	4.2-65
FIGURE 4.3-1	VESSEL TRAFFIC LANES IN THE VICINITY OF CABRILLO PORT .....	4.3-3
FIGURE 4.3-2	LNG CARRIER APPROACH ROUTES.....	4.3-9
FIGURE 4.3-3	PROPOSED ROUTES FOR SUPPORT VESSELS BETWEEN PORT CABRILLO AND PORT HUENEME .....	4.3-15
FIGURE 4.3-4	POTENTIAL SAFETY ZONE AND AREA TO BE AVOIDED (ATBA) .....	4.3-19

FIGURE 4.4-1	ARTIST’S RENDERING OF THE FSRU .....	4.4-2
FIGURE 4.4-2	OFFSHORE VIEW FROM OCEANVIEW DRIVE, PORT HUENEME (KOP 1) .....	4.4-5
FIGURE 4.4-3	OFFSHORE VIEW FROM THE MALIBU BLUFFS AREA (KOP 2) .....	4.4-6
FIGURE 4.4-4	SOUTHEASTERLY VIEW ALONG THE BEACH AT MANDALAY SHORES (KOP 3) .....	4.4-7
FIGURE 4.4-5	VIEW FROM ENCINAL CANYON ROAD, MALIBU (KOP 4) ....	4.4-8
FIGURE 4.4-6	VIEW FROM ANACAPA ISLAND (KOP 5) .....	4.4-9
FIGURE 4.4-7	VIEW LOOKING TOWARD THE CHANNEL ISLANDS FROM SR 1 NEAR THE LOS ANGELES/VENTURA COUNTY LINE (KOP 6) .....	4.4-10
FIGURE 4.4-8	VIEW TO THE ORMOND BEACH GENERATING STATION LOOKING SOUTHEAST ALONG THE COASTAL DUNES (KOP 7) .....	4.4-11
FIGURE 4.4-9	VIEW OF FARMLAND ADJACENT TO THE RELIANT ENERGY ORMOND BEACH GENERATING STATION, OXNARD (KOP 8).....	4.4-12
FIGURE 4.4-10	VIEW OF DEL NORTE BOULEVARD, OXNARD (KOP 9) .....	4.4-13
FIGURE 4.4-11	VIEW OF VIA PRINCESSA ROAD AND CIRCLE J RANCH PARK, SANTA CLARITA (KOP 10) .....	4.4-14
FIGURE 4.4-12	VIEW OF SR 126, SANTA CLARITA (KOP 11) .....	4.4-15
FIGURE 4.4-13	SIMULATED VIEW OF FSRU FROM NEAR LEO CARRILLO STATE BEACH UNDER CLEAR WEATHER CONDITIONS.....	4.4-19
FIGURE 4.4-14	SIMULATED VIEW OF FSRU FROM NEAR LEO CARRILLO STATE BEACH UNDER TYPICAL MARINE WEATHER CONDITIONS.....	4.4-20
FIGURE 4.4-15	VIEW OF PROPOSED FSRU LOCATION FROM MALIBU BLUFFS, MALIBU (KOP 12) .....	4.4-21
FIGURE 4.4-16	VIEW OF PROPOSED FSRU LOCATION FROM POINT DUME UNDER CLEAR SKY CONDITIONS (KOP 13) .....	4.4-22

FIGURE 4.4-17	SIMULATED VIEW OF THE FSRU FROM POINT DUME UNDER TYPICAL MARINE CONDITIONS. ....	4.4-23
FIGURE 4.4-18	SIMULATED NIGHTTIME VIEW OF THE FSRU NEAR THE HORIZON FROM A POINT NEAR LEO CARRILLO STATE BEACH.....	4.4-26
FIGURE 4.4-19	VIEW OF PLEASANT VALLEY ROAD, OXNARD (KOP 14) ..	4.4-34
FIGURE 4.5-1	SOILS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY (VENTURA COUNTY) ....	4.5-9
FIGURE 4.5-2	SOILS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY (LOS ANGELES COUNTY).....	4.5-11
FIGURE 4.7-1	GRAY WHALE MIGRATION ROUTES .....	4.7-19
FIGURE 4.8-1A	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PROJECT PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES, VENTURA COUNTY (MAP 1 OF 3).....	4.8-5
FIGURE 4.8-1B	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PROJECT PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES, VENTURA COUNTY (MAP 2 OF 3).....	4.8-7
FIGURE 4.8-1C	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PROJECT PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES, VENTURA COUNTY (MAP 3 OF 3).....	4.8-9
FIGURE 4.8-2	SENSITIVE VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.8-11
FIGURE 4.8-3A	SPECIAL STATUS PLANT, WILDLIFE, AND NATURAL COMMUNITIES WITHIN A FIVE-MILE RADIUS OF THE PROPOSED PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVES, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.8-15
FIGURE 4.8-3B	SPECIAL STATUS PLANT, WILDLIFE, AND NATURAL COMMUNITIES WITHIN A FIVE-MILE RADIUS OF THE SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL ALTERNATIVE/GONZALES ROAD PIPELINE, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.8-17
FIGURE 4.8-4A	POTENTIAL LOCATIONS OF SUITABLE HABITAT FOR CALIFORNIA LEAST TERN, BELDING'S SAVANNAH SPARROW, AND WESTERN SNOWY PLOVER, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.8-21

FIGURE 4.8-4B	POTENTIAL LOCATIONS OF SUITABLE HABITAT FOR SALTMARSH BIRD'S BEAK.....	4.8-23
FIGURE 4.8-4C	POTENTIAL LOCATIONS OF SUITABLE HABITAT FOR WESTERN SNOWY PLOVER, CALIFORNIA LEAST TERN, BELDING'S SAVANNAH SPARROW, AND LEAST BELL'S VIREO, VENTURA COUNTY.....	4.8-25
FIGURE 4.8-5	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE AND ITS ALTERNATIVE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.8-29
FIGURE 4.8-6	SENSITIVE VEGETATION COMMUNITIES ALONG THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ROUTE AND ITS ALTERNATIVE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.8-31
FIGURE 4.8-7	SPECIAL STATUS PLANT, WILDLIFE, AND NATURAL COMMUNITIES WITHIN A FIVE-MILE RADIUS OF THE PIPELINE AND ITS ALTERNATIVE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.8-35
FIGURE 4.8-8A	POTENTIAL LOCATIONS OF SUITABLE HABITAT FOR ARROYO TOAD, LEAST BELL'S VIREO, AND WESTERN SPADEFOOT TOAD IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.8-37
FIGURE 4.8-8B	POTENTIAL LOCATIONS OF SUITABLE HABITAT FOR THE COASTAL CALIFORNIA GNATCATCHER IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.8-39
FIGURE 4.11-1	BATHYMETRIC MAP OF PROJECT AREA.....	4.11-3
FIGURE 4.11-2	SEABED SLOPE GRADIENTS IN PROJECT AREA.....	4.11-7
FIGURE 4.11-3	OFFSHORE SURFICIAL GEOLOGY OF PROJECT AREA ...	4.11-9
FIGURE 4.11-4	GEOLOGY (VENTURA COUNTY).....	4.11-11
FIGURE 4.11-5	GEOLOGY LOS ANGELES COUNTY.....	4.11-13
FIGURE 4.11-6	LOCAL OFFSHORE GEOLOGY MAP.....	4.11-15
FIGURE 4.11-7	GEOLOGICAL FAULTS AND EARTHQUAKE EPICENTERS IN THE PROJECT AREA, 1800 TO 1999.....	4.11-19
FIGURE 4.13-1	ORMOND BEACH WETLANDS RESTORATION PLAN AREA.....	4.13-5

FIGURE 4.13-2	SENSITIVE LAND USES IN THE PROJECT AREA, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.13-9
FIGURE 4.13-3	GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.13-13
FIGURE 4.13-4	SENSITIVE LAND USES IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY .....	4.13-19
FIGURE 4.13-5	GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY .....	4.13-23
FIGURE 4.16-1	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME CATCH BLOCKS IN THE PROJECT AREA .....	4.16-7
FIGURE 4.17-1	MAJOR ROAD INTERSECTIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.17-3
FIGURE 4.17-2	MAJOR ROAD INTERSECTIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY .....	4.17-9
FIGURE 4.18-1	STREAMS, CANALS, AND AGRICULTURAL DITCHES IN THE PROJECT AREA, VENTURA COUNTY .....	4.18-11
FIGURE 4.18-2	STREAMS, CANALS, AND AGRICULTURAL DITCHES IN THE PROJECT AREA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY .....	4.18-13

# CABRILLO PORT LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEEPWATER PORT REVISED DRAFT EIR LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AAAV	Advanced Amphibious Assault Vessel
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ADT	average daily traffic
AHTS	anchor handling tug supply vessel
AIS	Automatic Identification System
AM	Applicant-proposed measure
APE	Area of Potential Effect
ATBA	area to be avoided
BACT	best available control technology
bbl	barrels
bcf	billion cubic feet
BHPB	BHP Billiton LNG International Inc.
BMPs	best management practices
BRMIMP	Biological Resources Mitigation Implementation and Monitoring Plan
Btu	British thermal unit
Btu/dscf	British thermal units per dry standard cubic feet
CAA	Clean Air Act
CARB	California Air Resources Board
Cal/COFI	California Cooperative Fisheries Investigations
Cal/EPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CalOSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Agency
CalTrans	California Department of Transportation
CBC	California Building Code
CCA	California Coastal Act
CCC	California Coastal Commission
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDIP	Coastal Data Information Program
CDMG	California Division of Mines and Geology
CDOC	California Department of Conservation
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act



CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CGS	California Geological Survey
CHNC	California Harbors and Navigation Code
CINMS	Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary
CINP	Channel Islands National Park
cm	centimeter
cm/s	centimeter per second
CNDD B	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CO	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
COPT	Captain of the Port
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CSLC	California State Lands Commission
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
CURB	City Urban Restriction Boundary
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
dB	decibel
dBA	decibels on the A-weighted scale (human hearing frequency)
DHS	United States Department of Homeland Security
DNV	Det Norske Veritas
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DPV	dynamically positioned pipelaying vessel
DTSC	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
DWP	deepwater port
DWPA	Deepwater Port Act
DWT	deadweight tons
E & E	Ecology & Environment, Inc.
EDCO	Economic Development Corporation of Oxnard
EDR	Environmental Data Resources, Inc.
EEAP	Employee Environmental Awareness Program
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EFH	essential fish habitat

EIA	U.S. Energy Information Administration
EIR	environmental impact report
EIS	environmental impact statement
EO	Executive Order
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant nit
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FDS	Fire Dynamics Simulator
FEED	Front End Engineering Design
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FOSC	Federal On-Scene Commander
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
FPSO	floating production, storage, and offloading
FR	Federal Register
FSA	formal safety analysis
FSRU	floating storage and regasification unit
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
g	gravitational constant equal to 980.655 centimeters per second squared
GMDSS	Global Marine Distress Safety System
GPS	global positioning system
GREAT	Ground Water Recharge Enhancement and Treatment
GT	gross tonnage
H <sub>2</sub> S	hydrogen sulfide
ha	hectare
HARP	Hotspots Analysis and Reporting Program
HAZID	hazard identification and analysis
HAZOP	hazard and operability study
HCA	High Consequence Area
HDB	horizontal directional boring
HDD	horizontal directional drilling
hp	horsepower
H <sub>s</sub>	wave height
HSWRI	Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute
Hz	hertz
IMO	International Maritime Organization
INRMP	Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan

IOU	investor-owned utility
IRA	Integrated Risk Assessment
ISM	International Safety Management
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISPS Code	International Ship and Port Security Code
ISSC	International Ship Security Certificate
JOFLO	Joint Oil/Fisheries Committee of South/Central California
kHz	kilohertz
km	kilometer
km <sup>2</sup>	square kilometer
kW/m <sup>2</sup>	kilowatts per square meter
KOP	key observation point
LA/LB	Los Angeles/Long Beach
LARWQCB	Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
LCP	Local Coastal Program
L <sub>dn</sub>	day-night sound level
Leq <sub>(h)</sub>	equivalent sound level
LED	light-emitting diode
LFL	lower flammability limit
LHG	liquefied hazardous gas
LNG	liquefied natural gas
LOS	level of service
LUST	leaking underground storage tank
m	meter
mg/L	milligrams per liter
m/s	meters per second
m <sup>2</sup>	square meters
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meters
m <sup>3</sup> /m	cubic meters per minute
MAOP	maximum allowable operating pressure
MARAD	Maritime Administration
MARPOL	International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mg/mL	milligrams per milliliter
mi <sup>2</sup>	square miles
mL	milliliter
MM	mitigation measure

MMBtu/hr	million British thermal units per hour
MMcf	million cubic feet
MMcfd	million cubic feet per day
MMP	mitigation monitoring program
MMPA	Marine Mammals Protection Act
MMS	U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service
MMscfd	million standard cubic feet per day
MOTEMS	Marine Oil Terminal Engineering and Maintenance Standards
MP	milepost
mph	miles per hour
MRZ	mineral resource zone
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
μPa	micropascal
MW	megawatt
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission
NBVC	Naval Base Ventura County
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Protection Act
NH <sub>3</sub>	ammonia
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NM	nautical mile
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI/NOP	Notice of Intent/Notice of Preparation
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service
NRMP	Natural River Management Plan
OCS	Outer Continental Shelf
OEHHA	Cal/EPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OPA	Oil Pollution Act of 1990
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act/Administration
OSPR	California Department of Fish and Game Office of Oil Spill Prevention and Response

OVTM	Office of Vessel Traffic Management
OU	Operable Unit
PAHs	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Pga	peak ground acceleration
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
PIR	potential impact radius
PLEM	pipeline-ending manifold
PLET	pipeline end termination
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
POTW	publicly owned treatment works
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per thousand
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
psi	pounds per square inch
psig	pounds per square inch gauge internal pressure
PTE	potential to emit
QRA	quantitative risk analysis
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RMS	root mean square
ROCs	reactive organic compounds
ROW	right-of-way
RPT	rapid phase transition
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SB	Senate Bill
SCADA	supervisory control and data acquisition
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCAT	South Coast Area Transit Bus Service
SCCIC	South Central Coast Information Center
SCE	Southern California Edison
SCR	selective catalytic reduction
SCUBA	self-contained underwater breathing apparatus
SCWRP	Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project
SEA	significant ecological area
SEMS	Standardized Emergency Management System
SES	Sound Energy Solutions

SHOBA	shore bombardment range
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
SOAR	Save Our Agricultural Resources
SOAR	Southern California Anti-Submarine Warfare Range
SOCAL	Southern California Operations Area
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas Company
SOLAS	International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea
SOPEP	Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
SPCC Plan	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan
SR	State Route
STCW	Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping
SVA	security and vulnerability assessment
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
SWRCB	California State Water Resources Control Board
SWTR	Shallow Water Training Range
TAMMSA	Terminals y Almacenes Maritimos de Mexico
TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility
TSS	Traffic Separation Scheme
µg	microgram
U.S.C.	United States Code
UBC	Uniform Building Code
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
USDOJ	U.S. Department of the Interior
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
UV	ultraviolet light
UXO	unexploded ordnance
VCAPCD	Ventura County Air Pollution Control District
VHF	very high frequency
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
VTs	Vessel Traffic Service
WCOVTRMP	West Coast Offshore Vessel Traffic Risk Management Project